Actualités de l'imagerie au cours de l'artérite à cellules géantes

Pr. Laurent ARNAUD

Service de rhumatologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg

Centre National de Référence des Maladies Systémiques Autoimmunes Rares

Commençons par une situation fréquente

Vous recevez en consultation Paul, 67 ans

ATCD : HTA essentielle sous IEC

Début des symptômes : il y a 3 semaines

Douleur inflammatoire des épaules

Impossibilité de “lever les bras en l’air” le matin

Limitation des mobilités actives des épaules

Pas de signe céphalique d’ACG

Pas de fièvre

CRP à 45mg/L

Imagerie de la PPR

Quelle(s) modalit(s) d'imagerie proposez-vous
devant une suspicion de PPR ?

Rien ?

Radiographies standards ?

Echographie (pri)articulaire ?

IRM (pri)articulaire ?

PET-TDM ?

Scan TAP ?

Scintigraphie osseuse ?

Imagerie de la PPR

Accuracy of musculoskeletal imaging for the diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica: systematic review

	Sensibilité	Spécificité
Bursite sous acromiale	80% (55% to 93%)	68% (60%to 75%)
Bursite sous acromiale (bilat)	66% (36% to 87%)	89% (66% to 97%)
Bursite trochanter	Variabilité +++	Variabilité +++
Arthrite gleno-humérale	62% (46% to 76%)	58% (45% to 69%)
Arthrite coxo-fémorale	33% (24% to 43%)	78% (66% to 87%)

Imagerie de la PPR

Accuracy of musculoskeletal imaging for the diagnosis of polymyalgia rheumatica: systematic review

	Sensibilité	Spécificité
Bursite sous acromiale	80% (55% to 93%)	68% (60%to 75%)
Bursite sous acromiale (bilat)	66% (36% to 87%)	89% (66% to 97%)
Bursite trochanter	Variabilité +++	Variabilité +++
Arthrite gleno-humérale	62% (46% to 76%)	58% (45% to 69%)
Arthrite coxo-fémorale	33% (24% to 43%)	78% (66% to 87%)

Un peu de liquide dans l'articulation ne remet pas en cause le diagnostic

PPR: IRM versus Echo ?

Ultrasonography and magnetic resonance imaging changes in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica treated by tocilizumab

L'IRM est beaucoup plus sensible pour détecter les lésions de PPR, a fortiori aux mINF

			Abnormality defined as a score ^a ≥ 1		Abnormality defined as a score ^a ≥ 2	
			Bursitis ^b	Effusion/synovitis ^c	Bursitis ^b	Effusion/synovitis ^c
Inclusion	MRI	Shoulders	93% (26/28)	100% (28/28)	64% (18/28)	71% (20/28)
		→ Hips	100% (30/30)	100% (30/30)	80% (24/30)	67% (20/30)
	US	Shoulders	61% (17/28)	57% (16/28)	21% (6/28)	21% (6/28)
		→ Hips	13% (4/30)	53% (16/30)	3% (1/30)	30% (9/30)

PPR : que peut-on voir au PET-scan?

Correlation between 18-fluorodeoxyglucose accumulation in large vessels and serological markers of inflammation in polymyalgia rheumatica: a quantitative PET study

Figure 1 Normalisation of tracer uptake in a patient with polymyalgia rheumatica (PMR) on steroid treatment.

Stratégie diagnostique de l'ACG

**Quelle est votre stratégie diagnostique
face à une suspicion d'ACG ?**

Les guidelines EULAR

Statement	LoE	LoA
1. In patients with suspected GCA, an early imaging test is recommended to complement the clinical criteria for diagnosing GCA, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the imaging technique. Imaging should not delay initiation of treatment.	1	9.2 (2.1) 90% ≥8
2. In patients in whom there is a high clinical suspicion of GCA and a positive imaging test, the diagnosis of GCA may be made without an additional test (biopsy or further imaging). In patients with a low clinical probability and a negative imaging result, the diagnosis of GCA can be considered unlikely. In all other situations, additional efforts towards a diagnosis are necessary.	2	9.4 (1.0) 90% ≥8
<p>Chez les patients chez lesquels on suspecte une ACG, il est recommandé de réaliser précocément une imagerie, sous réserve du haut niveau d'expertise pour réaliser cette imagerie, que l'examen soit rapidement disponible, et que sa réalisation ne retarde pas l'introduction du traitement</p>		
7. In patients with suspected TAK, MRI to investigate mural inflammation and/or luminal changes should be used as the first imaging test to make a diagnosis of TAK, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the technique.	3	≥8 9.1 (1.4) 90% >8
8. PET, CT and/or ultrasound may be used as alternative imaging modalities in patients with suspected TAK. Ultrasound is of limited value for assessment of the thoracic aorta.	3 (CT) and 5 (PET and ultrasound)	9.4 (0.8) 100% ≥8
9. Conventional angiography is not recommended for the diagnosis of GCA or TAK as it has been superseded by the previously mentioned imaging modalities.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
10. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK) in whom a flare is suspected, imaging might be helpful to confirm or exclude it. Imaging is not routinely recommended for patients in clinical and biochemical remission.	5	9.4 (0.8) 100% ≥8
11. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK), MRA, CTA and/or ultrasound may be used for long-term monitoring of structural damage, particularly to detect stenosis, occlusion, dilatation and/or aneurysms. The frequency of screening as well as the imaging method applied should be decided on an individual basis.	5	9.3 (1.2) 95% ≥8
12. Imaging examination should be done by a trained specialist using appropriate equipment, operational procedures and settings. The reliability of imaging, which has often been a concern, can be improved by specific training. Suggestions for technical and operational parameters are depicted in box 1.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8

Les guidelines EULAR

Statement	LoE	LoA
1. In patients with suspected GCA, an early imaging test is recommended to complement the clinical criteria for diagnosing GCA, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the imaging technique. Imaging should not delay initiation of treatment.	1	9.2 (2.1) 90% ≥8
2. In patients in whom there is a high clinical suspicion of GCA and a positive imaging test, the diagnosis of GCA may be made without an additional test (biopsy or further imaging). In patients with a low clinical probability and a negative imaging result, the diagnosis of GCA can be considered unlikely. In all other situations, additional efforts towards a diagnosis are necessary.	2	9.4 (1.0) 90% ≥8
3. Ultrasound of temporal±axillary arteries is recommended as the first imaging modality in patients with suspected predominantly cranial GCA*. A non-compressible 'halo' sign is the ultrasound finding most suggestive of GCA.	1	9.7 (0.6) 100% ≥8
4. High resolution MRI† of cranial arteries‡ to investigate mural inflammation may be used as an alternative for GCA diagnosis if ultrasound is not available or inconclusive.	2	9.2 (1.1) 90% >8
5. CT† and PET† are not recommended for the assessment of inflammation of cranial arteries.	5	9.5 (1.2)
value for assessment of the thoracic aorta.	5 (PET and ultrasound)	100% ≥8
9. Conventional angiography is not recommended for the diagnosis of GCA or TAK as it has been superseded by the previously mentioned imaging modalities.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
10. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK) in whom a flare is suspected, imaging might be helpful to confirm or exclude it. Imaging is not routinely recommended for patients in clinical and biochemical remission.	5	9.4 (0.8) 100% ≥8
11. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK), MRA, CTA and/or ultrasound may be used for long-term monitoring of structural damage, particularly to detect stenosis, occlusion, dilatation and/or aneurysms. The frequency of screening as well as the imaging method applied should be decided on an individual basis.	5	9.3 (1.2) 95% ≥8
12. Imaging examination should be done by a trained specialist using appropriate equipment, operational procedures and settings. The reliability of imaging, which has often been a concern, can be improved by specific training. Suggestions for technical and operational parameters are depicted in box 1 .	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8

L'échographie des artères temporales +/- axillaires est l'examen d'imagerie recommandé en première intention chez les patients suspects d'ACG céphalique.
Un signe du halo « non compressible » est l'élément le plus suggestif du diagnostic

Quelle performance de l'échographie ?

Revue systématique de la littérature et méta-analyse

Sensibilité (halo)

69% (95% CI: 57-79)

Spécificité (halo)

81% (95%CI: 87-90)

versus BAT+

Echographie des artères faciales et occipitales

Halo sur l'artère faciale

Halo sur l'artère occipitale

Quels territoires passer à l'écho ?

Atteinte des artères faciales ou occipitales chez 4/22 (18.2%) patients sans atteinte des A. temporales

	A All patients	A1 Temporal artery <i>halo</i>	B			C		
			Facial artery <i>halo</i>		p ^s	Occipital artery <i>halo</i>		p ^s
			yes	no		yes	no	
Number of patients	93	71	38	55		29	64	
Female (%)	66.7	60.6	60.5	70.9	ns	62.1	68.8	ns
Age (years) [#]	73.5 (66.1–79.1)	76.5 (67.6–79.6)	78.1 (74.7–81.3)	67.8 (64.1–76.1)	ns	76.0 (72.2–79.3)	69.9 (64.5–79.0)	ns
General symptoms (%)	81.7	81.7	81.6	83.6	ns	75.9	84.4	ns
Polymyalgia rheumatica (%)	18.3	19.7	15.8	20.0	ns	13.8	20.3	ns
New headache (%)	67.7	76.1	89.5	52.7	0.002	82.8	60.9	ns
Occipital headache (%)	32.3	33.8	42.1	25.5%	ns	37.9	29.7	ns
Scalp tenderness (%)	28.0	32.4	39.5	20.0%	ns	41.4	21.9	ns
Jaw claudication (%)	45.2	53.5	71.1	27.3	< 0.001	69.0	34.4	0.028
Visual disturbances (%)	21.5	28.2	34.2	12.7	ns	20.7	21.9	ns
Permanent visual loss (%)	10.8	14.1	23.7	1.8	0.004	10.3	10.9	ns
Clinically abnormal TAs (%)	55.9	70.4	78.9	40.0	0.002	89.7	40.6	< 0.001
Dry cough (%)	25.8	25.4	23.7	29.1	ns	27.6	26.6	ns
Stroke (%)	5.1	4.2	5.3	1.8	ns	6.9	1.6	ns
TAB positive (%)	82.1	85.0	84.4	79.4	ns	87.5	78.6	ns
TA CDS positive (%)	76.3		92.1	67.3	0.019	93.1	68.8	ns
LVV CDS positive (%)	45.2	36.6	39.5	50.9	ns	27.6	54.7	ns
ESR (mm/h) [#]	80 (61–109)	80 (56–110)	72 (62–94)	86 (59–115)	ns	83 (65–108)	80 (55–109)	ns
CRP (mg/l) [#]	73 (45–126)	73 (46–129)	72 (49–118)	76 (35–132)	ns	105 (55–134)	70 (38–118)	ns

Avenir : échographie très haute résolution?

NON-INVASIVE VASCULAR VERY-HIGH RESOLUTION ULTRASOUND TO QUANTIFY ARTERY INTIMA LAYER THICKNESS: VALIDATION OF THE FOUR-LINE PATTERN

Les guidelines EULAR

Statement	LoE	LoA
1. In patients with suspected GCA, an early imaging test is recommended to complement the clinical criteria for diagnosing GCA, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the imaging technique. Imaging should not delay initiation of treatment.	1	9.2 (2.1) 90% ≥8
2. In patients in whom there is a high clinical suspicion of GCA and a positive imaging test, the diagnosis of GCA may be made without an additional test (biopsy or further imaging). In patients with a low clinical probability and a negative imaging result, the diagnosis of GCA can be considered unlikely. In all other situations, additional efforts towards a diagnosis are necessary.	2	9.4 (1.0) 90% ≥8
3. Ultrasound of temporal±axillary arteries is recommended as the first imaging modality in patients with suspected predominantly cranial GCA*. A non-compressible 'halo' sign is the ultrasound finding most suggestive of GCA.	1	9.7 (0.6) 100% ≥8
4. High resolution MRI† of cranial arteries‡ to investigate mural inflammation may be used as an alternative for GCA diagnosis if ultrasound is not available or inconclusive.	2	9.2 (1.1) 90% >8
5. CT† and PET† are not recommended for the assessment of inflammation of cranial arteries.	5	9.5 (1.2) 95% >8
6. PET† and ultrasound‡ are not recommended for the assessment of the thoracic aorta.	5	9.5 (1.2) 95% >8
9. Conventional angiography is not recommended for the diagnosis of GCA or TAK as it has been superseded by the previously mentioned imaging modalities.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
10. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK) in whom a flare is suspected, imaging might be helpful to confirm or exclude it. Imaging is not routinely recommended for patients in clinical and biochemical remission.	5	9.4 (0.8) 100% ≥8
11. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK), MRA, CTA and/or ultrasound may be used for long-term monitoring of structural damage, particularly to detect stenosis, occlusion, dilatation and/or aneurysms. The frequency of screening as well as the imaging method applied should be decided on an individual basis.	5	9.3 (1.2) 95% ≥8
12. Imaging examination should be done by a trained specialist using appropriate equipment, operational procedures and settings. The reliability of imaging, which has often been a concern, can be improved by specific training. Suggestions for technical and operational parameters are depicted in box 1.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8

En cas de suspicion clinique forte d'ACG avec une imagerie positive, le diagnostic d'ACG peut-être porté sans qu'il soit nécessaire de réaliser d'autres examens (biopsie ou autre imagerie) ; dans les autres cas poursuivre les investigations

PNDS « Horton »

Figure 1. Algorithme diagnostique.

Les reco
Françaises ne
disent pas la
même chose !!!

Les guidelines EULAR

Statement	LoE	LoA
1. In patients with suspected GCA, an early imaging test is recommended to complement the clinical criteria for diagnosing GCA, cranial GCA*. A non-compressible 'halo' sign is the ultrasound finding most suggestive of GCA.	1	9.2 (2.1) 100% ≥8
4. High resolution MRI† of cranial arteries‡ to investigate mural inflammation may be used as an alternative for GCA diagnosis if ultrasound is not available or inconclusive.	2	9.2 (1.1) 90% >8
5. CT† and PET† are not recommended for the assessment of inflammation of cranial arteries.	5	9.5 (1.2) 95% >8
6. Ultrasound, PET, MRI and/or CT may be used for detection of mural inflammation and/or luminal changes in extracranial arteries to support the diagnosis of LV-GCA. Ultrasound is of limited value for assessment of aortitis.	3 (PET and CT) and 5 (MRI and ultrasound)	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
7. In patients with suspected TAK, MRI to investigate mural inflammation and/or luminal changes should be used as the first imaging test to make a diagnosis of TAK, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the technique.	3	9.1 (1.4) 90% >8
8. PET, CT and/or ultrasound may be used as alternative imaging modalities in patients with suspected TAK. Ultrasound is of limited value for assessment of the thoracic aorta.	3 (CT) and 5 (PET and ultrasound)	9.4 (0.8) 100% ≥8
9. Conventional angiography is not recommended for the diagnosis of GCA or TAK as it has been superseded by the previously mentioned imaging modalities.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
10. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK) in whom a flare is suspected, imaging might be helpful to confirm or exclude it. Imaging is not routinely recommended for patients in clinical and biochemical remission.	5	9.4 (0.8) 100% ≥8
11. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK), MRA, CTA and/or ultrasound may be used for long-term monitoring of structural damage, particularly to detect stenosis, occlusion, dilatation and/or aneurysms. The frequency of screening as well as the imaging method applied should be decided on an individual basis.	5	9.3 (1.2) 95% ≥8
12. Imaging examination should be done by a trained specialist using appropriate equipment, operational procedures and settings. The reliability of imaging, which has often been a concern, can be improved by specific training. Suggestions for technical and operational parameters are depicted in box 1 .	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8

L'apport de l'IRM

3T MRI of the temporal artery - contrast-enhanced, fat-sat, transversal, T1-weighted, 2-d spin-echo sequence

Bley TA, et al. Assessment of the cranial involvement pattern of giant cell arteritis with 3T magnetic resonance imaging. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005

L'apport de l'IRM

171 patients avec suspicion d'ACG

BAT positive - 31 (18.1%)

IRM anormale - 60 (35.1%)

Critère ACR remplis – 137 (80.1%)

IRM comparativement à BAT :

- Sensibilité : 93.6% (CI 78.6%-99.2%)

- Specificité : 77.9% (CI 70.1%-84.4%)

- **VPP : 48.3% (CI 35.2%-61.6%)**

- **VPN : 98.2% (CI 93.6%-99.8%)**

“Une IRM normale est fortement associée à la négativité de la BAT”

3T MRI of the temporal artery - contrast-enhanced, fat-sat, transversal, T1-weighted, 2-d spin-echo sequence

Bley TA, et al. Assessment of the cranial involvement pattern of giant cell arteritis with 3T magnetic resonance imaging. Arthritis Rheum 2005

Atteinte des gros vaisseaux et ACG

Dépend de la modalité d'imagerie utilisée...

Echo-doppler
30-55%

Angio-TDM
60-70%

PET-scan
jusqu'à 85%

Prieto-González S, et al. Effect of glucocorticoid treatment on CTA detected large-vessel inflammation in GCA. A prospective, longitudinal study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2015

Blockmans D, et al. Repetitive 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography in giant cell arteritis: a prospective study of 35 patients. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006

PNDS « Horton »

Tableau 1. Traitement d'attaque d'une ACG nouvellement diagnostiquée.

Forme d'ACG	Traitement
Non compliquée	Prednisone 0,7 mg/kg/jour
Atteinte ophtalmologique	Méthylprednisolone 500-1000 mg/jour (pendant 1 à 3 jours avec un relais par prednisone 1 mg/kg/jour) ou prednisone 1 mg/kg/jour ; le traitement doit être débuté immédiatement Aspirine 75 à 300 mg/jour
Aorto-artérite non-complicquée	Prednisone 0,7 mg/kg/jour
Aorto-artérite compliquée	Prednisone 1 mg/kg/jour

Remarque 1 : En général, il n'est pas préconisé d'associer un traitement (immunosuppresseur ou par thérapie ciblée) à la corticothérapie lors du traitement d'attaque ; toutefois, un traitement adjuvant par méthotrexate ou par tocilizumab peut être envisagé en cas de nécessité d'épargne cortisonique

Remarque 2 : La prescription d'aspirine à faible dose est recommandée dans les ACG avec atteinte ophtalmologique ; dans les autres cas, elle doit être décidée au cas par cas selon l'évaluation bénéfice-risque (voir 5.4.1.1 : Antiagrégants plaquettaires et anticoagulants)

TDM aortique : une bonne épaisseur ?

Thickness (mm)	Sensitivity	Specificity	Thickness (mm)	Sensitivity	Specificity
≥1.5	100.00%	0.00%	≥2.7	34.38%	100.00%
≥1.6	98.44%	7.27%	≥2.8	31.25%	100.00%
≥1.7	98.44%	27.27%	≥2.9	29.69%	100.00%
≥1.8	95.31%	50.91%	≥3.1	28.13%	100.00%
≥1.9	87.50%	71.82%	≥3.2	20.31%	100.00%
≥2.0	81.25%	80.91%	≥3.3	14.06%	100.00%
≥2.1	71.88%	92.73%	≥3.4	9.38%	100.00%
≥2.2	67.19%	98.18%	≥3.6	7.81%	100.00%
≥2.3	64.06%	99.09%	≥3.7	6.25%	100.00%
≥2.4	53.13%	99.09%	≥3.9	4.69%	100.00%
≥2.5	51.56%	99.09%	≥4.1	3.13%	100.00%
≥2.6	43.75%	100.00%	≥4.2	1.56%	100.00%

Table 3 Location of aortic wall thickening in the 64 patients with giant cell arteritis, with two different thresholds, ≥ 2 mm or ≥ 3 mm

	Ascending aorta	Arch	Descending thoracic aorta	Suprarenal abdominal aorta	Infrarenal abdominal aorta	Thoracic aorta	Abdominal aorta
Wall ≥ 3 mm (%)	0 (0.0)	3 (4.7)	13 (20.3)	11 (17.2)	11 (17.2)	14 (21.9)	14 (21.9)
Wall ≥ 2 mm (%)	20 (31.2)	35 (54.7)	49 (76.6)	35 (54.7)	27 (42.2)	51 (79.7)	38 (59.4)

PET-TDM et ACG

TEP ou scanner pour le bilan ?

Giant-cell arteritis: concordance study between aortic CT angiography and FDG-PET/CT in detection of large-vessel involvement

Patient	Tao	Aao	Subcl	Carot	Axil	UpLi	Ilio-Fem	LowLi
1	Positive PET/CT							
2	Positive PET/CT							
3	Positive PET/CT							
4	Positive PET/CT							
5	Positive PET/CT							
6	Positive PET/CT							
7	Positive PET/CT							
8	Positive PET/CT							
9	Positive PET/CT							
10	Positive PET/CT							
11	Positive PET/CT							
12	Positive PET/CT							
13	Positive PET/CT							
14	Positive PET/CT							
15	Positive PET/CT							
16	Positive PET/CT							
17	Positive PET/CT							
18	Positive PET/CT							
19	Positive PET/CT							
20	Positive PET/CT							
21	Positive PET/CT							
22	Positive PET/CT							
23	Positive PET/CT							
24	Positive PET/CT							
25	Positive PET/CT							
26	Positive PET/CT							
27	Positive PET/CT							
28	Positive PET/CT

Tao: thoracic aorta
 Aao: abdominal aorta
 Subcl: subclavian arteries
 Carot: carotidian arteries
 Axil: axillary arteries
 UpLi: upper limb arteries
 Ilio-Fem: ilio-femoral arteries
 LowLi: lower limb arteries

COMPARAISON DIRECTE :
 Le PET détecte plus d'artères atteintes que l'angio-TDM mais le nombre de patient avec atteinte artérielle reste similaire

	Sensitivity	Specificity
Diagnosis per patient	95% (18/19)	100% (9/9)
Diagnosis per segment	61% (49/80)	97.9% (141/144)

Les guidelines EULAR

Statement	LoE	LoA
1. In patients with suspected GCA, an early imaging test is recommended to complement the clinical criteria for diagnosing GCA, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the imaging technique. Imaging should not delay initiation of treatment.	1	9.2 (2.1) 90% ≥8
2. In patients in whom there is a high clinical suspicion of GCA and a positive imaging test, the diagnosis of GCA may be made without an additional test (biopsy or further imaging). In patients with a low clinical probability and a negative imaging result, the diagnosis of GCA can be considered unlikely. In all other situations, additional efforts towards a diagnosis are necessary.	2	9.4 (1.0) 90% ≥8
3. Ultrasound of temporal±axillary arteries is recommended as the first imaging modality in patients with suspected predominantly cranial GCA*. A non-compressible 'halo' sign is the ultrasound finding most suggestive of GCA.	1	9.7 (0.6) 100% ≥8
4. High resolution MRI† of cranial arteries‡ to investigate mural inflammation may be used as an alternative for GCA diagnosis if ultrasound is not available or inconclusive.	2	9.2 (1.1) 90% >8
5. CT† and PET† are not recommended for the assessment of inflammation of cranial arteries.	5	9.5 (1.2) 95% >8
6. Ultrasound, PET, MRI and/or CT may be used for detection of mural inflammation and/or luminal changes in extracranial arteries to support the diagnosis of LV-GCA. Ultrasound is of limited value for assessment of aortitis.	3 (PET and CT) and 5 (MRI and ultrasound)	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
7. In patients with suspected TAK, MRI to investigate mural inflammation and/or luminal changes should be used as the first imaging test to make a diagnosis of TAK, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the technique.	3	9.1 (1.4) 90% >8
9. Conventional angiography is not recommended for the diagnosis of GCA or TAK as it has been superseded by the previously mentioned imaging modalities.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
10. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK) in whom a flare is suspected, imaging might be helpful to confirm or exclude it. Imaging is not routinely recommended for patients in clinical and biochemical remission.	5	9.4 (0.8) 100% ≥8
11. In patients with LVV (GCA or TAK), MRA, CTA and/or ultrasound may be used for long-term monitoring of structural damage, particularly to detect stenosis, occlusion, dilatation and/or aneurysms. The frequency of screening as well as the imaging method applied should be decided on an individual basis.	5	9.3 (1.2) 95% ≥8
12. Imaging examination should be done by a trained specialist using appropriate equipment, operational procedures and settings. The reliability of imaging, which has often been a concern, can be improved by specific training. Suggestions for technical and operational parameters are depicted in box 1.	5	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8

Imagerie des gros vaisseaux : comme vous voulez (plutôt pas écho pour aortite)

Conséquences de l'atteinte aortique

Figure 1 Chest radiograph of a 70-year-old man at the time of diagnosis of GCA in 2002 (A). Four years later the chest radiograph of the same patient shows new mediastinal broadening (B). A CT scan demonstrates a large aneurysm of the thoracic aorta with a maximum diameter of 9.3 cm in the ascending segment (C).

Atteinte des gros vaisseaux et ACG

Risque d'évolution anévrismale

Topographie	Sur-risque (versus population générale)
Aorte thoracique	OR : 17.3 (IC95% : 7.9 - 33.0)
Aorte abdominale	OR : 2.4 (IC95%: 0.8 - 5.5)

L'aortite prédit la survenue des anévrismes

Large-vessel involvement and aortic dilation in giant-cell arteritis. A multicenter study of 549 patients

No. at risk		0	12	24	36	48	60	72	84
LVI	154	140	121	91	72	54	35	24	
No LVI	123	109	82	71	61	51	26	18	

Table 5

Baseline variables associated with aortic dilation in giant-cell arteritis in uni- or multivariate Cox proportional hazards models.

	Univariate HR [95% CI]	p	Multivariate HR [95% CI]	p
Female sex	0.57 [0.29–1.20]	0.13		
Age < 70	1.61 [0.85–3.14]	0.15		
Tobacco use	2.13 [1.07–4.12]	0.03		
Hypertension	1.08 [0.58–2.03]	0.81		
Diabetes	0.91 [0.22–2.53]	0.87		
Dyslipidemia	1.51 [0.73–2.97]	0.26		
Cephalic signs	0.39 [0.20–0.79]	0.01	0.39 [0.18–0.83]	0.01
Headache	0.63 [0.33–1.27]	0.19		
Scalp tenderness	1.25 [0.65–2.52]	0.51		
Jaw claudication	0.62 [0.29–1.25]	0.19		
Temporal artery abnormality	1.21 [0.58–2.86]	0.63		
Ophthalmic signs	0.59 [0.20–1.39]	0.25		
Polymyalgia rheumatica	0.64 [0.32–1.22]	0.18		
Extra-cephalic signs	0.65 [0.24–1.47]	0.32		
Limb claudication	1.03 [0.40–3.54]	0.94		
Vascular bruits	0.68 [0.23–1.59]	0.40		
Positive histology	1.12 [0.57–2.33]	0.75		
LVI on imaging	3.46 [1.62–8.54]	0.0009	3.16 [1.34–7.48]	0.009

95% CI: 95% confidence interval; HR: hazard ratio; LVI: large-vessel involvement.

La relative linéarité de la courbe justifie une surveillance annuelle

De Boysson et al. Autoimmunity reviews 2018

Atteinte des gros vaisseaux et ACG

Risque de dissection engageant le pronostic vital

Survival (%)

La surveillance...

Statement	LoE	LoA
1. In patients with suspected GCA, an early imaging test is recommended to complement the clinical criteria for diagnosing GCA, assuming high expertise and prompt availability of the imaging technique. Imaging should not delay initiation of treatment.	1	9.2 (2.1) 90% ≥8
2. In patients in whom there is a high clinical suspicion of GCA and a positive imaging test, the diagnosis of GCA may be made without an additional test (biopsy or further imaging). In patients with a low clinical probability and a negative imaging result, the diagnosis of GCA can be considered unlikely. In all other situations, additional efforts towards a diagnosis are necessary.	2	9.4 (1.0) 90% ≥8
3. Ultrasound of temporal±axillary arteries is recommended as the first imaging modality in patients with suspected predominantly cranial GCA*. A non-compressible 'halo' sign is the ultrasound finding most suggestive of GCA.	1	9.7 (0.6) 100% ≥8
4. High resolution MRI† of cranial arteries‡ to investigate mural inflammation may be used as an alternative for GCA diagnosis if ultrasound is not available or inconclusive.	2	9.2 (1.1) 90% >8
5. CT† and PET† are not recommended for the assessment of inflammation of cranial arteries.	5	9.5 (1.2) 95% >8
6. Ultrasound, PET, MRI and/or CT may be used for detection of mural inflammation and/or luminal changes in extracranial arteries to support the diagnosis of LV-GCA. Ultrasound is of limited value for assessment of aortitis.	3 (PET and CT) and 5 (MRI and ultrasound)	9.8 (0.6) 100% ≥8
7. In patients with suspected TAK, MRI to investigate mural inflammation and/or luminal changes should be used as the first imaging	3	9.1 (1.4)

L'angio-IRM, l'angio-scanner et/ou échographie peuvent être utilisés pour la surveillance à long terme des dommages structurels, en particulier pour détecter la sténose, l'occlusion, la dilatation et / ou les anévrismes. La fréquence du dépistage ainsi que la méthode d'imagerie appliquée devrait être décidée sur une base individuelle

particularly to detect stenosis, occlusion, dilatation and/or aneurysms. The frequency of screening as well as the imaging method applied should be decided on an individual basis.

12. Imaging examination should be done by a trained specialist using appropriate equipment, operational procedures and settings. The reliability of imaging, which has often been a concern, can be improved by specific training. Suggestions for technical and operational parameters are depicted in [box 1](#).

Ne PAS refaire le PET-TDM au cours du suivi

Repetitive ^{18}F -FDG-PET/CT in patients with large-vessel giant-cell arteritis and controlled disease

FDG uptakes remained positive in >80% of the 25 patients and in the 7 GCA patients who had clinical and biological controlled disease and were screened with second and third PET/CTs at 11 and 15 months after diagnosis, respectively.

L'avenir ? Fusion PET/IRM

**PET/MRI in large-vessel vasculitis:
clinical value for diagnosis and
assessment of disease activity**

	Active disease (n = 11 scans)	Active GCA (n = 6 scans)	Active TA (n = 5 scans)	Remission (n = 7 scans)	P value (active vs. remission)
TA	5/11 (45%)	—	—	5/7 (71%)	0.2
GCA	6/11 (55%)	—	—	2/6 (33%)	0.2
Vascular signs	7/11 (64%)	3/6 (50%)	4/5 (80%)	0	0.04
Constitutional symptoms	8/11 (73%)	6/6 (100%)	2/5 (40%)	0	0.001
C-reactive protein level (mg/L)	25 [0–250]	25 (0–250)	26 (6–100)	0 [0–30]	0.006
PET + (grade ≥3)	9/11 (82%)	4/6 (66%)	5/5 (100%)	0	0.003
PET/MRI inflammatory pattern	8/11 (73%)	3/6 (50%)	5/5 (100%)	0	0.004
PET/MRI fibrous pattern	0	0	0	3/7 (43%)	0.04
PET/MRI normal	3/11 (27%)	3/6 (50%)	0	4/7 (57%)	0.3
SUVmax	4.7 [2.1–8.6]	3.4 (2.1–8.6)	4.7 (3–7.1)	2 [1.8–2.6]	0.003
Steroids	6/11 (55%)	4/6 (66%)	2/5 (40%)	4/7 (57%)	1
Prednisone dose (mg/day)	52.5 [15–240]	—	—	12.5 [3–40]	0.08
Time between diagnosis and PET (months)	25 [0–222]	—	—	138 [14–179]	0.2

Conclusion

Imagerie de la PPR :

- en cas de doute, échographie (bursite ?) ou IRM
- rarement néoplasique
- **mais intérêt de l'angio-TDM pour détecter une atteinte artérielle**

Imagerie de l'ACG :

- Atteinte des gros vaisseaux : jusqu'à 80% des patients
- 15% des patients ont une atteinte des gros vaisseaux sans signe céphalique
- Diagnostic de l'aortite si épaisseur aorte > 2,2mm
- **Pas d'intérêt du PET-TDM pour le suivi**
- **Ne pas oublier l'imagerie annuelle à vie (détection dilatation)**

MERCI !!!

Laurent.arnaud@chru-strasbourg.fr

Twitter: @Lupusreference